

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov.

TRAD STRIFTS UN AME		·		CONFIRMATION NO.
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR YUKIO YAMAUCHI	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. 0756-1947	5203
09/266,012	03/11/1999	YUKIO TAMAGGIA		y

7590

03/20/2002

ERIC J ROBINSON SIXBEY FRIEDMAN LEEDOM & FERGUSON 8180 GREENSBORO DRIVE SUITE 800 MCLEAN, VA 22102

EXAMINER RICHARDS, N DREW

PAPER NUMBER

ART UNIT 2815

DATE MAILED: 03/20/2002

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.i	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		YAMAUCHI ET	YAMAUCHI ET AL.	
	09/266,012	Art Unit		
Office Action Summary	Examin r	2815		
The MAILING DATE of this communication	N. Drew Richards	ith th correspondenc	addr ss	
MAN ING DATE of this communication	n app ars on the cover sir et u			
eriod for Reply	SERVIS SET TO EXPIRE 3 N	10NTH(S) FROM		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD TO A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD TO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical if the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) day. If the period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, I any reply received by the Office later than three months after the same displacement. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	tion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory minimum of the statutory of the statute, cause the application to become one mailing date of this communication, even the statute of	irty (30) days will be considered on the mailing date of NTHS from the mailing date of	I timely. this communication. 3).	
Status filed	on <u>18 December 2001</u> .			
1) Responsive to communication (a)	This action is non-final.	- aution 3	s to the merits is	
2a) This action is FINAL. 3) Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice	or allowance except for formal r e under <i>Ex part</i> e Q <i>uayl</i> e, 1935	natters, prosecution a C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213	3.	
Disposition of Claims	in the application.			
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-18 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are	withdrawn from consideration.			
(a) Of the above claim(s)				
is/are allowed.				
Claim(s) 1-3 and 6-18 Islate rejection				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	and/or election requiremen	t.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election require			
A digation Papers				
seification is objected to by the	e Examiner.	o by the Examiner.		
9) The specification to say 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any ob	-,	abeyance. See 37 CFF	(1.85(a).	
		b) disapproved by th	e Examiner.	
Applicant may not request 11) The proposed drawing correction file	ed on is. a) approve	1. [.]		
If approved, corrected drawings and 12) The oath or declaration is objected to	to by the Examiner.			
12) Ine dail of dosas 119 and 120		LCC & 119(a)-(d) or	(f).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120	m for foreign priority under 35 l	7.5.0. 3 110(0) (2)	-	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim				
- Some Cillions	: -	.ad		
1. Certified copies of the prior	ity documents have been recei	ved in Application No.	is National Stage	
2 🖾 Certified copies of the phor	ity documents ha	ve been received in th	15 Mational Class	
Copies of the certified copi	es of the Pureau (PCT Rule 1	1.2(a)).		
3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Int * See the attached detailed Office at the of a claim.	ction for a list of the certified co	EUSC 8 119(e) (to a	a provisional applicatio	
I was ont is made of a clar	M11 14	Las boon received		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim a) The translation of the foreign	n language provisional applicat	on has been 1000.100.	or 121.	
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign	im for domestic priority under	55 0.0.0. 33		
15) Acknowledgment is made of		.n.T.O	413) Paner No(s)	
Attachment(s)	4)	Interview Summary (Application (PTO-152)	
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev	iou (PTO-948)	-		
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Rev 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-14	149) Paper No(s) 13		Part of Paper No.	
3) M Information 2.15	Action Summary			

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1, 3, and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art in view of Moeller et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,511,756).

Applicant's admitted prior art teaches on page 1 line 8 through page 2 line 4 an organic electroluminescence display device. The admitted prior art device comprises a thin film transistor formed over a substrate having an active layer of silicon including a source, drain, and channel region. The admitted prior art does not explicitly state that it is formed on a substrate having an insulated surface, however it is well known to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to form thin film transistors on insulating substrates. The admitted prior art also teaches an electrode comprising aluminum electrically connected to one of the source and drain regions having a barrier metal interposed between the electrode and the source or drain region to prevent a direct contact therebetween. The admitted prior art also teaches a transparent electrode electrically connected to the thin film transistor and an organic electroluminescence layer adjacent to the transparent electrode. The admitted prior art does not teach forming a barrier metal of titanium.

Art Unit: 2815

Moeller et al. teach a method of forming aluminum on silicon. Moeller et al. teach a barrier metal layer between the aluminum and the silicon. Moeller et al. teach on line 4 of the abstract using a barrier metal comprising titanium. With respect to claim 3, Moeller et al. teach that the barrier metal contains nitrogen.

With regard to claim 12, Moeller et al. teach the barrier metal layer comprising titanium nitride where a concentration of nitrogen is 50 atm% or less. This is inherently taught as Moeller et al. form a titanium nitride layer and titanium nitride is one atom titanium to one atom nitride, thus 50 atm% nitride.

Applicant's admitted prior art and Moeller et al. are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a barrier metal of titanium nitride between the silicon source or drain and the aluminum electrode. The motivation for doing so is prevent diffusion of aluminum into the silicon source or drain region.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Applicant's admitted prior art with Moeller et al. to obtain the invention of claims 1, 3, and 12.

3. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicant's admitted prior art with Moeller et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,511,756) as applied to claim 1 above, further in view of Tang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,550,066).

Applicant's admitted prior art with Moeller et al. teach forming a transparent electrode but do not disclose forming it of indium tin oxide. Tang et al. teach an organic EL display device which has an indium tin oxide transparent electrode. Tang et al. and

Art Unit: 2815

Applicant's admitted prior art are from the same field of endeavor. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use an indium tin oxide electrode as indium tin oxide (commonly referred to as ITO) is a well known and long established transparent conductor. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Applicant's admitted prior art and Moeller et al. with Tang et al. to obtain the invention of claim 2.

4. Claims 6-9, 13, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tang et al. with Applicant's admitted prior art, and further in view of Moeller et al. (U.S. Patent No. 4,511,756).

With regards to claim 6, Tang et al. teach a device having a substrate with an insulating surface, a first thin film transistor having an active layer including source, drain and channel and a gate electrode adjacent to the channel, a second thin film transistor having an active layer including source, drain, and channel and a gate electrode adjacent to the channel, wherein the gate electrode of the second thin film transistor is electrically connected to the drain region of the first thin film transistor as seen in figures 1 and 8. Tang et al. also teaches a transparent electrode connected to the drain of the second thin film transistor and an organic electroluminescence layer disposed adjacent to the transparent electrode. Tang et al. does not teach a conductive layer disposed between the transparent electrode and the drain region of the second thin film transistor. Applicant's admitted prior art teaches a conductive metal (barrier layer) between the drain region and the transparent electrode. The motivation for

Art Unit: 2815

combining Tang with Applicant's admitted prior art is to prevent diffusion of silicon into the electrode. Neither Tang et al. nor Applicant's admitted prior art teach that the conductive layer comprises titanium. Moeller et al. teach using a titanium barrier as discussed above with regards to claims 1 and 3.

With regard to claim 7, the titanium barrier of Moeller et al. is disclosed as titanium nitride.

With regard to claim 8, Tang et al. teach a counter electrode opposed to the transparent electrode with the organic electroluminescence layer interposed therebetween, wherein the counter electrode comprises magnesium and silver.

With regard to claim 9, Tang et al. teach a thin film transistor formed over a substrate having an active silicon layer with source, drain and channel regions, a transparent electrode electrically connected to the thin film transistor, an organic electroluminescence layer adjacent to the transparent electrode, and a peripheral driving circuit comprising another thin film transistor formed over the substrate. Tang et al. do not teach an electrode comprising aluminum electrically connected to one of the source and drain regions and a barrier metal layer interposed between the electrode and the one of the source and drain regions to prevent a direct contact therebetween. This is taught by Applicant's admitted prior art to allow low resistance electrical communication with a diffusion barrier to prevent silicon diffusing from the active layer to the electrode. Applicant's admitted prior art does not teach the barrier metal comprising titanium. This is taught by Moeller et al. as discussed previously.

Art Unit: 2815

With regards to claims 13 and 14, Moeller et al. teach the barrier metal layer comprising titanium nitride where a concentration of nitrogen is 50 atm% or less. This is inherently taught as Moeller et al. form a titanium nitride layer and titanium nitride is one atom titanium to one atom nitride, thus 50 atm% nitride.

5. Claims 10, 11 and 15-18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Tang et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5,550,066) in view of Takemura (U.S. Patent No. 5,828,429).

Tang et al. disclose an organic electroluminescence display device on columns 1-14 and in figures 1-9. More specifically, Tang et al. disclose a substrate 41 having an insulating surface, at least one X-direction signal line over the substrate and at least one Y-direction signal line crossing the X-direction signal line as seen in figure 1, a thin film transistor formed over the substrate at an intersection of the X-direction and Y-direction signal lines having an active layer comprising silicon including source, drain, and channel regions, a transparent electrode (anode electrode), and an organic electroluminescence layer 82 adjacent to the transparent electrode. The structure of the organic electroluminescence display device can be seen in figure 8. Tang et al. do not explicitly disclose a peripheral driving circuit comprising another thin film transistor formed over the substrate for supplying a signal to one of the X-direction or Y-direction signal lines. Takemura teach an electroluminescent display device having a peripheral driving circuit comprising a thin film transistor formed over the substrate for supplying a signal to one of the X-direction signal lines in column 16 lines 48-52.

Art Unit: 2815

With regard to claim 11, the thin film transistor and another thin film transistor being manufactured through the same process is a product-by-process limitation that does not structurally distinguish over the prior art.

Tang et al. and Takemura are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a second thin film transistor in a peripheral driving circuit for supplying a signal to the X-direction or Y-direction signal lines. The motivation for doing so is to allow controllable selection and switching on and off of the electroluminescent devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Tang et al. with Takemura to obtain the invention of claims 10 and 11.

With regard to claim 15, Tang et al. disclose an organic electroluminescence display device including a substrate 41 having an insulating surface, at least one X-direction signal line over the substrate and at least one Y-direction signal line crossing the X-direction signal line as seen in figure 1, at least one pixel defined at an intersection between the X-direction and Y-direction signal lines, at least one switching thin film transistor and one current control thin film transistor formed over the substrate in the pixel, and organic electroluminescence layer 82 over the substrate. Tang et al. do not explicitly disclose a peripheral driving circuit comprising at least a third thin film transistor formed over the substrate for supplying a signal to one of the X-direction or Y-direction signal lines. Takemura teach an electroluminescent display device having a peripheral driving circuit comprising a third thin film transistor formed over the substrate for supplying a signal to one of the X-direction signal lines in column 16

Art Unit: 2815

lines 48-52. Tang et al. further teach the transistors comprising a semiconductor layer comprising crystalline silicon and including source, drain and channel regions, a gate insulating film adjacent to the semiconductor layer and a gate electrode adjacent the gate insulating film. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the in the combination the third transistor would be formed with a similar structure as the switching and current control transistors. With regard to claim 16, the gate electrode can be seen over the channel region with the gate insulating film interposed therebetween.

Tang et al. and Takemura are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a third thin film transistor in a peripheral driving circuit for supplying a signal to the X-direction or Y-direction signal lines. The motivation for doing so is to allow controllable selection and switching on and off of the electroluminescent devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Tang et al. with Takemura to obtain the invention of claims 15 and 16.

With regard to claim 17, Tang et al. disclose an organic electroluminescence display device including a substrate 41 having an insulating surface, at least one X-direction signal line over the substrate and at least one Y-direction signal line crossing the X-direction signal line as seen in figure 1, at least one pixel defined at an intersection between the X-direction and Y-direction signal lines, at least one switching thin film transistor and one current control thin film transistor formed over the substrate in the pixel, and organic electroluminescence layer 82 over the substrate. Tang et al. do not explicitly disclose a peripheral driving circuit comprising at least a third thin film

Art Unit: 2815

transistor formed over the substrate for supplying a signal to one of the X-direction or Y-direction signal lines. Takemura teach an electroluminescent display device having a peripheral driving circuit comprising a third thin film transistor formed over the substrate for supplying a signal to one of the X-direction and Y-direction signal lines in column 16 lines 48-52. Tang et al. further teach the transistors comprising a semiconductor layer comprising crystalline silicon and including source, drain and channel regions, a gate insulating film adjacent to the semiconductor layer and a gate electrode adjacent the gate insulating film. One of ordinary skill in the art would recognize that the in the combination the third transistor would be formed with a similar structure as the switching and current control transistors. The limitation of the transistors being manufactured through the same process is a product-by-process limitation that does not structurally distinguish over the prior art. With regard to claim 18, the gate electrode can be seen over the channel region with the gate insulating film interposed therebetween.

Tang et al. and Takemura are combinable because they are from the same field of endeavor. At the time of the invention it would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to provide a third thin film transistor in a peripheral driving circuit for supplying a signal to the X-direction or Y-direction signal lines. The motivation for doing so is to allow controllable selection and switching on and off of the electroluminescent devices. Therefore, it would have been obvious to combine Tang et al. with Takemura to obtain the invention of claims 17 and 18.

Art Unit: 2815

Response to Arguments

6. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10 and 11 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to N. Drew Richards whose telephone number is (703) 306-5946. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 8:00-5:30; Every other Friday off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eddie Lee can be reached on (703) 308-1690. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 308-7722 for regular communications and (703) 308-7722 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-

0956.

NDR

March 11, 2002

EDDIE LEE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800